



The YALTA Agreement

Part 1

[You Are Legal Tender Absolute]

THE FOLLOWING PRESENTMENT OF FACTS IS WATER TIGHT, UNAMBIGUOUS AND IRREBUTABLE

THE LOGIC IS PLAIN CLEAR AND IRREFUTABLE

WeRe Bank [its management] is engaged in a spiritual humanitarian project wholly based upon the gesture of “forgiveness!” This we were under the belief, mistakenly it seems, was one of the fundamental tenets and teachings of Christianity, Islam, Buddhism, Jainism, Hinduism and Judaism. Can those challenging, ignoring, and attempting to rebut our precepts explain why:

“No debt obligation, of an individual or nation state, **IS EVER FORGIVEN** by the global banking elite and their jurist moneyed interests – and why whole nations and populations are hobbled into poverty, starvation and ruination via excessive taxation and deprivation **RATHER** than just having their debt forgiven?”

“Those attempting to argue against it are by nature lunatics, imbeciles, dullards, cretins and vexatious trouble makers who are a danger to society and to mankind. Members of the Judiciary, Barristers, Senior Police Officers, members of the ACPO, Bailiffs, Enforcement officers, legal profession, attorneys, para-legals and solicitors fall easily within this categorisation”

“They are hell bent on destroying the Earth and everything that lives upon it – in a narcissistic, egotistical pursuit of power, money, comfort, privilege, title, position and self-aggrandisement.”

“With their worship at the alter of crony capitalism they are to be considered a disgrace to their own kin and the very of their “BUSINESS” is Black-Robed, demonically influenced via cold, black hearted evil intent. They support & protect the “RING OF DEPRAVITY” – Paedophilia, child abduction and human trafficking, slavery as well as human ritual sacrifice. They claim plausible deniability, but they know! Oh how well they know the score. The score to that death thin hollowed out tune to which they dance each day!”

THESE ARBITERS OF JUSTICE (so called) WOULD PLACE YOU ON A CATTLE CART BOUND FOR AUSCHWITZ, BELSEN or DACHAU, WITHOUT EVEN SO MUCH AS A BACKWARDS GLANCE, THEN HAVE THE TEMERITY TO TRY TO INVOKE “THE NUREMBERG DEFENCE” SO....

TREAT THEM ACCORDINGLY!



ReMember – IF THEY WONT FOLLOW ANY LAW THEN THERE IS NO LAW

It used to be said “*There is one law for the rich and another for the poor*”. However, even that is not true these days. With the level of tyranny they have tip-toes to your door. The is no LAW for you at ALL

The Judges in their ecclesiastical, mercantile courts don’t even follow their own laws in their own Courts. Equity, common sense and reason are dead and the independent Judges who were once referred to as the “Lions under the Throne” are now reduced to “**MONARCHICAL APPOINTED MASONIC MAFIOSO**” looking to enforce photocopied – unsigned or unstamped comic – type “**COURT ORDERS.**”

NOW PLEASE ASK YOURSELF WHY....

...ACCORDING TO THE BRITISH MORTGAGE LENDERS ASSOCIATION [BMLA] AROUND 160,000 HOMES ARE REPOSSESSED EACH YEAR IN THE UK WITH NUMBERS RISING AND....

IN THE LAST 20 YEARS OF REPOSSESSION HISTORY, FOR EXAMPLE, ENFORCED BY & WITHIN THE BRITISH LEGAL SYSTEM, HOW DOES ONE EXPLAIN AWAY AND DOES ONE NOT FIND IT SOMEWHAT STRANGE THAT WITH APPROXIMATELY 3 MILLION [3,000,000] PROPERTY SEIZURES,

NOT ONE DEFENDANT/LITIGANT/HOUSEHOLDER HAS PREVAILED AGAINST THE WEIGHT OF THE BANKING INDUSTRY, BACKED BY THEIR JUDICIAL HENCHMEN, IN A SO CALLED “IMPARTIAL & FAIR” SYSTEM OF COURT ROOM LAW AGAINST A BANKS REPOSSESSION CLAIM!

SHOULDN’T JUST ONE HAVE PREVAILED VIA THE LAWS OF CHANCE, HAZARD or ACCIDENT ?

ERGO – YOU HAVE A MORAL, SOCIAL, LEGAL, POLITICAL, IDEOLOGICAL AND ETHICAL DUTY TO RISE UP AGAINST THESE PYRIAS AND EVERYTHING FOR WHICH THEY STAND.

THE RULE OF LAW IS NO MORE – THE DECK IS STACKED – IT ALWAYS WAS

SO LET’S NOW GET TO PAYING THEM IN KIND, SHALL WE WITH...

THEIR LAWS

THEIR CONTRACTS

THEIR EVALUATIONS

THEIR RULES

THEIR LEGAL TENDER

THEIR PROMISSORY NOTES

THEIR CURRENCY AND

OUR MONEY!



FACTS IS FACTS AND YOU ARE THE FACT

1. WHAT IS A CHEQUE?
2. WHAT IS A LEGAL TENDER?
3. WHAT IS MONEY? [SEE YALTA 2]
4. WHAT IS CURRENCY? [SEE YALTA 2]
5. WHO ARE YOU – WHAT IS YOUR WORTH? [SEE YALTA 2]

1. WHAT IS A CHEQUE?

THE QUESTION THEN IS TO DEFINE A CHEQUE - YOU WILL SEE THAT ALL THE DEFINITIONS OF A CHEQUE ARE CONTAINED IN THE WeRe Bank CHEQUEING FACILITY.

ALL THAT IS REQUIRED IS:

- A. DRAWEE BANK IDENTIFICATION
- B. DRAWER'S NAME
- C. DATE
- D. AMOUNT IN WORDS AND NUMERALS
- E. SIGNATURE
- F. THAT IT BE WRITTEN UPON SOMETHING – ANYTHING!

A CHEQUE CAN IN FACT BE WRITTEN ON ANYTHING – A FOOTBALL, A SERVIETTE OR ON THE SIDE OF A COW AS HAS BEEN PROVEN IN THE PAST

IT IS NOT FOR THEM [private companies] TO RECOGNISE OR NOT RECOGNISE WeRe Bank EITHER - I MAY SAY THAT I DO NOT RECOGNISE “YOU.” BUT WHAT DOES THAT PROVE? RECOGNITION MEANS NOT “UNDERSTOOD/IDENTIFIED AT FIRST SIGHT” – SO LOOK AGAIN MR BANKER MAN AND JURIST.

The Law Relating to Cheques [as stated by a private company which is NOT the benchmark of TRUTH by a long measure]

http://www.chequeandcredit.co.uk/cheque_and_credit_clearing/the_law_relating_to_cheques/

The definition and use of cheques are covered by The Bills of Exchange Act 1882, and the Cheques Acts of 1957 and 1992. The Bills of Exchange Act 1882 defines a cheque as a written order from an account holder instructing their bank to pay a specified sum of money to one or more named beneficiaries.

*“Ever since their inception it has been the case that cheques are not a promise to pay by the bank, [unless they are CASHIERS CHEQUES] but a request to the bank that it pays, out of the funds deposited by the customer, an amount to a third party. This means that the bank will only honour the cheque if the account holder has sufficient funds to meet it or it can be covered by an agreed overdraft or other line of credit. Cheques are not legal tender [UNLESS CASHIERS CHEQUES] and never have been. Even today, if you owe someone money they are not obliged to accept a cheque. **Instead a creditor is entitled to be paid in legal tender and can refuse payment in any other form.”**”*



THIS IS MOST EXCELLENT NEWS FOR YOU AND FOR US ON TWO COUNTS:

“A CREDITOR CAN REFUSE PAYMENT IN ANY OTHER FORM” and

“A CHEQUE IS NOT LEGAL TENDER”

So then neither is an IOU or a PROMISE TO PAY”... but that can't be right now, can it?

2. WHAT IS LEGAL TENDER? NARROW DEFINITION

As per the guidelines from the Royal Mint – a Rothschild owned private corp

*“Legal tender has a very narrow and technical meaning in the settlement of debts. **It means that a debtor cannot successfully be sued for non-payment if he pays into court in legal tender.** It does not mean that any ordinary transaction has to take place in legal tender or only within the amount denominated by the legislation. Both parties are free to agree to accept any form of payment whether legal tender or otherwise according to their wishes. In order to comply with the very strict rules governing an actual “legal tender” it is necessary, for example, actually to offer the exact amount due because no change can be demanded.”*

LEGAL TENDER

<http://www.royalmint.com/aboutus/policies-and-guidelines/legal-tender-guidelines>

“Should not or cannot...be refused?”

BUT WHO'S THE PRINCIPAL GUARANTOR ON LEGAL TENDER?

YOU ARE, DIDN'T YOU KNOW THAT?

SO YOU WEREN'T TOLD?

NOW ISN'T THAT FRAUD?

ISN'T THAT FRAUDULENT MISREPRESENTATION?

ISN'T THAT DECEIT?

AND IF IT IS THEN SHOULDN'T YOU BE ENTITLED TO COMPENSATION OR SET OFF OR RECOUPMENT AT THE VERY LEAST?

AND MAYBE EVEN DAMAGES?

AND THEN MAYBE EVEN PUNITIVE DAMAGES?

RUNE THAT BY ME AGAIN PLEASE...

So who's the Guarantor/ Surety on the Note?

In finance, a **surety**, **surety bond** or **guaranty** involves a promise by one party to assume responsibility for the debt obligation of a borrower [GOVT] if that borrower defaults. The person or company providing this promise is also known as a "surety" or as a "guarantor".

And the GUARANTOR is?

....you guessed it... that's you on the BOE notes!!!



If the surety is required to pay or perform due to the principal's failure to do so, the law will usually give the surety a right of subrogation, allowing the surety to "step into the shoes of" the principal and use his (the surety's) contractual rights to recover the cost of making payment or performing on the principal's behalf, **even in the absence of an express agreement to that effect between the surety and the principal.**

AND SO WHO IS COMMITTING FRAUD HERE...DID SOME ONE MENTION WeRe Bank?

QUESTIONS FOR YOU POSE THE JUDGE/LEGAL DEPT/PAYEE:

1. DOES THE COUNCIL, THE CORPORATION, PAYEE, THE BANK, ACCEPT PROMISSORY NOTES AS A FORM OF PAYMENT? Yes or no?
2. DOES THE COUNCIL, THE CORPORATION, THE BANK ACCEPT "LEGAL TENDER" [AS DEFINED ON THE FED/BOE BANK NOTES] FOR PAYMENT OF LIABILITIES SUPPOSEDLY OWED TO IT?
3. IS A BANK NOTE ALSO A PROMISSORY NOTE? Yes or no?
4. IS A BANK NOTE [AS ISSUED BY THE BANK OF ENGLAND/FEDERAL RESERVE] LEGAL TENDER? Yes or no?
5. IF A PROMISSORY NOTE AS ISSUED BY THE BOE/FEDERAL RESERVE IS "LEGAL TENDER" IT IS ALSO A BANK NOTE IS IT NOT? Yes or no?
6. BUT A "PROMISE TO PAY" IS ALSO AN IOU, CHEQUE OR DRAFT IS IT NOT?
7. IF A CHEQUE IS DRAWN AGAINST A VALID ENFORCEABLE LEGAL INSTRUMENT, A PROM NOTE, THEN IS THAT CHEQUE LEGAL TENDER BY EXTRAPOLATION?

IF ANY PARTY REFUSES OR CANNOT ANSWER THESE QUESTIONS THEN THEY ARE PRACTICING "FINANCIAL APARTHEID" AND UNDERMINING COMMERCE

REFUSAL OF A CHEQUE AT ANY LEVEL, IS UNCONSCIONABLE AND AGAINST COMMERCIAL LAW AND PRACTICE

2(a) SO WHAT IS LEGAL TENDER? EXTENDED DEFINITION

A CREDITOR IS NOT PRACTICALLY ABLE TO INSIST ON PAYMENT IN LEGAL TENDER BECAUSE OF THE TENET THAT:

"IMPOSSIBLE CONTRACTS ARE NOT ENFORCEABLE IN LAW."

What Are Some Examples of *Subjective* Impossibility?

Here are some ways that a promised performance can become subjectively impossible to do:

- Natural disasters make the price of performance abnormally high;
- Sudden wars make the price of performance abnormally high;
- **A party deliberately makes a misrepresentation.** And p(principal) is always less than p+interest%



“AS 99% OF ALL MONEY ON THE PLANET IS LOCKED UP IN "monetary unit of account, cheque book money, or bank ledger money" then IT IS IMPOSSIBLE TO PAY IN LEGAL TENDER BECAUSE THERE IS SIMPLY NOT ENOUGH CASH IN “NOTES COIN OR OTHERWISE” TO PAY OFF ANYTHING – ESPECIALLY IN LEGAL TENDER AS DESCRIBED AS NOTE OR COIN BY THE COINAGE ACT 2011 OR BY THE ROYAL MINT.”

BUT LEGAL TENDER AS WE HAVE SEEN ALREADY IS NOT JUST NOTE OR COIN IS IT?

LEGAL TENDER ABSOLUTE IS A PROMISSORY NOTE – A PROMISE BACKED BY YOU – THE CITIZEN TAXPAYER TO REPAY SOMETHING AT A FUTURE DATE

“LEGAL TENDER IS A PROMISSORY NOTE AS EVIDENCED AND DIRECTLY BORN OUT BY THE STATEMENTS BOTH ON THE US DOLLAR BILL AND THE BOE PROMISSORY NOTES CALLED “BANK NOTES” OR LEGAL TENDER!

[USA FEDERAL RESERVE NOTES] – *“This note is legal tender for all debts public and private”*

[UK BOE STERLING NOTES] - *“I promise to pay the bearer on demand the sum...”*

AS LEGAL TENDER THEN NO TRADER, CORPORATION OR BUSINESS HAS THE RIGHT TO REFUSE A CHOSE IN ACTION, AN IOU OR THE CHEQUE. WITHOUT THESE INSTRUMENTS, THEN ALL PLANETARY COMMERCE WOULD COME TO A HALT OVERNIGHT WOULD IT NOT?

LEGAL TENDER IS A BANK NOTE AND NOT ONLY A BANK NOTE ISSUED BY THE BOE/FED BUT OTHER BANKS TOO eg ULSTER BANK, BANK OF SCOTLAND AND SEVERAL OTHERS **INCLUDING WeRe Bank**

ALSO AS MENTIONED PREVIOUSLY - IF A BANK NOTE IS A PROMISSORY NOTE AND A BANK NOTE IS LEGAL TENDER THEN A PROMISSORY NOTE IS ALSO LEGAL TENDER AS IN FACT ALL PROMISSORY NOTES ARE...”PREDICATED ON PROMISES TO PAY AND NAUGHT ELSE”.THE ULTIMATE GUARANTOR ON THE NOTE BEING YOU! A CHEQUE, A BILL, A NOTE, A BANK NOTE, ARE ALL NEGOTIABLE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND ARE LEGAL TENDER – **THEY ARE ALL AND ONLY ONE THING....AN IOU!**

MANY BANKS AROUND THE WORLD ARE SENDING CHEQUES TO WeRe Bank FOR CLEARING. Why?

THEY ARE NOT SENDING AND ADDRESSING THESE CHEQUES TO WeRe Bank BECAUSE THEY THINK/KNOW/REALIZE/RECOGNISE THAT WeRe A BAKERY, LAUNDERETTE OR FLORISTS SHOP – THEY ARE SENDING THEM TO US BECAUSE WeRe Bank is JUST THAT – A BANK AND IT IS NOT BY LACK OF DILIGENCE OR HAZARD, EITHER, AS THESE BANKS ARE THE LIKES OF “JP MORGAN”, “AMERICAN EXPRESS”, “LLOYDS” “KEY BANK”, “NY MELLON”, “BANK OF AMERICA”, “BARCLAYS”, “RBS”, “STANDARD CHARTERED”.

ASK THE BANK/PAYEE WHY THEY REFUSE THE INSTRUMENT?

THEY CANNOT REFUSE IT UNLESS IT HAS A DEFECT -THE CHEQUE IS FREE FROM DEFECT. THEY ARE LYING AND BEING OBTUSE AND FINDING FAULT WHERE NONE EXISTS.

THOSE BANKS, COURTS REFUSING CHEQUES OR ALLEGING IMPROPRIETY:



THEY ARE CHEATS AND LIARS AND ARE TOTALLY IN VIOLATION OF THE SOCIETALLY IMPLIED SOCIAL CONTRACT BETWEEN STATE AND CITIZEN WHEREBY THE CITIZENS AGREE TO BE TAXED IN RETURN FOR PROTECTION FROM DOMESTIC & OUTSIDE AGRESSORS AND TO BE DEALT WITH AND PROTECTED IN A SUPPOSED FAIR AND IMPARTIAL JUSTICE SYSTEM. THE MOMENT THAT THIS CONTRACT IS ABROGATED THEN THERE IS NO LONGER RULE OF LAW –

IF THEY WILL NOT ADHERE TO THE LAW WRITTEN IN BLACK AND WHITE ON THEIR PART WHY THEN SHOULD WE, THE PEOPLE, TAKE ONE IOTA OF NOTICE OF IT ON OUR PART?

IF BANKS ARE ACCEPTING CHEQUES [any cheques, promises, IOU's etc] THEN THERE IS AN **ABSOLUTE SOLVENCY REQUIREMENT TO ACCEPT THEM ALL** FOR WITHOUT THE CHEQUE THEIR WOULD BE COMMERCIAL AND FINANCIAL CHAOS.

THEREFORE WE DECLINE TO PLAY ANY LONGER – CONSENT WITHDRAWN

Continued in YALTA 2

Copyright:

PLEASE WATCH THESE 2 VIDEOS – EDUCATE YOURSELF AND FIGHT

YOU MUST DECONSTRUCT THE ARGUMENTS OF THE LYING CHEATING STEALING THIEVING PARASITIC JUDICIARY AND THE BANKING ASSISTANTS WHO WORK FOR CORPORATE PRIVATEERS AND PIRATES

THE JUDGES ARE LIARS AND MUST BE TOLD SO IN NO UNCERTAIN TERMS

THE LAW FIRMS WHICH SHELTER AND SUCKLE AT THE WHORES BREAST ARE THE PROGENY OF A MARRIAGE BETWEEN THE VERY DEVIL HIMSELF AND TREACHERY

THERE IS ONLY ONE FORM OF LEGAL TENDER AND THAT'S YOU

NO YOU – NO NOTHING!

Then JOIN ReMovement at

www.re-movement.info

https://youtu.be/N_7to4TSftQ

<https://youtu.be/WINd7ZgqVz0>

