



BBC RADIO 4 QUESTIONS WHICH WERE SUPPOSED TO BE ADDRESSED ON THE RADIO BUT WERE NEVER AIRED OR BROADCAST

Underlined = question

On Thursday 28th we'll be discussing WeRe bank on our program. Particularly we'll be discussing claims that the 'Re' cheques that you issue can't be used by customers to pay debts.

FIRST OF ALL ANYONE ARGUING FOR MORE OF THE SAME WHICH THE HIGH STREET BANKS OVERSEEN BY THE BANK OF ENGLAND AN UK TREASURY SPOON OUT TO THEM HAS NO PLACE IN A HUMANITARIAN SOCIETY OR EVOLVING PLANETARY SOCIETY. THE SYSTEM IS CORRUPT AND FAILING AND FOR THOSE TO PRONNOUNCE MORE OF THE SAME BASED ON THE PREVIOUS TRACK RECORD ARE MORTAL ENEMIES OF MANKIND. That being said

WERE BANK UTILISES MONETARY UNIT OF ACCOUNT/CHEQUE BOOK MONEY OR BANK LEDGER MONEY IN ORDER TO FACILITATE THE PAYMENTS SYSTEM OF DEBT ERADICATION.

JUST LIKE THE HIGH STREET BANKS AND THE PRIVATELY INCORPORATED BANK OF ENGLAND ONLY AROUND 1% OF ALL "MONEY" ON THE PLANET IS AVAILABLE IN NOTES OR COIN

99% IS BANK LEDGER MONEY OR DIGITS UPON A COMPUTER SCREEN

We'll be speaking to the Financial Ombudsman who have had a number of complaints brought to them **of 'Re' cheques bouncing, they say:**

1 FIRST OF ALL THEY ARE NOT RE CHEQUES BUT BANKERS DRAFTS/CASHIERS CHEQUES OR TELLERS CHEQUES.

2THEY CANNOT BOUNCE AS THEY ARE PRE-CLEARED AND GUARANTEED. CLEARED MEANS THAT THE FUNDS ARE APPORTIONED AND PLACED ON COLLECTION AWAITING CALL FORWARD BY THE PAYEES BANK – THE HIGH STREET BANKING CARTEL . THEIR REFUSAL IS TO CALL THE CURRENCY FORWARD IS IN STRICT VIOLATION OF ARTICLE 101 ON THE TREATY ON THE FUNCTIONING OF THE EUROPEAN UNION as well as UNIFORM RULES OF COLLECTION 522

THEY ARE ISSUED IN THE CURRENCY OF THE COUNTRY IN WHICH THEY ARE TENDERED AND NOT IN Re.

-WeRe Bank cheques are not valid and is invented currency

INCORRECT – WERE BANK DEALS ON THE PUBLIC SIDE IN fractional reserve currencies AND ON THE private side in a currency called the Re. The two are anti-sympathetic to each other.

-WeRE Bank hasn't got the money to pay off the cheques, the sort code on the cheques is made up

1. TWO POINTS HERE – NO BANK HAS MONEY THESE DAYS. THE OMBUDSMAN AND THE SHILL FSA MISTAKE CURRENCY FOR MONEY. THERE IS A DIFFERENCE AND THE BANK OF ENGLAND KNOWS IT. MONEY IS A STORE OF WEALTH OVER TIME AND FUNGIBLE

CURRENCY IS WORTHLESS FIAT PAPER RUN OFF A PRINTING PRESS – IT HAS NO BACKING AND IS BASED ON USURY. MAYBE THE OMBUDSMAN AND THE ENTIRE CITY OF LONDON KNOWS THIS TOO!

WERE BANK HAS BETTER “MONEY” IN ITS VAULTS THAN THE HIGH STREET BANKS AND UK TREASURY – THAT MONEY IS PROMISES TO PAY – PROMISES TO PERFORM ARE THE ONLY “MONEY”/CURRENCY THE BANKS HAVE – THESE TAKE THE FORM OF DEBT OBLIGATIONS/LOANS/MORTGAGES/CREDIT AGREEMENTS – ALL ROBBING PROSPERITY FROM THE FUTURE IN ORDER TO PAY FOR ACTIVITIES TODAY – THE DEFICIT IN BOTH THE UK AND USA IS PROOF THAT THE SYSTEM IS FRAUDULENT- THIS IS CALLED DEFICIT SPENDING AND IS UNLAWFUL. IF YOU OR I CONDUCTED OURSELVES LIKE THIS THEN WE WOULD BE DECLARED BANKRUPT IMMEDIATELY AND IMPRISONED FOR FRAUD AND CONTINUING TO DECEIVE CREDITORS

2. THE SORT CODE IS JUST AN ADDRESS TO POINT TO A LOCATION OF FACILITY WHERE THE “ARTICLE 3 UNIFORM COMMERCIAL CODE NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT CAN BE PRESENTED. THE FINGER MAY POINT TO THE MOON – BUT DO NOT CONFUSE THE FINGER WITH THE MOON

WeRe Bank isn't a bank, and so has no authority to issue cheques

WeRe Bank does NOT issue cheques – the people do. WeRe bank simply acts as clearer, record keeper and facilitator.

1. SEE THE BILLS OF EXCHANGE ACT 1882

PART 1 (2) INTERPRETATION OF TERMS:

“BANKER” INCLUDES A BODY OF PERSONS INCORPORATED OR NOT WHO CARRY OUT THE BUSINESS OF BANKING. THE TEST FOR WHAT CONSTITUTES BANKING HAS BEEN DEFINED BY LORD DENNING AND OTHERS:

see *United Dominions Trust v Kirkwood (2)*

The Court of Appeal defined 3 elements for determining whether or not a person is a banker:

- 1- The nature of the banking services provided.
- 2- The importance of these services in relation to the business as a whole.
- 3- The reputation of the institution.

Lord Denning also found that the following were characteristics usually found in the business of banking:

- a- Accepting money from and collecting cheques for customers and placing these at the credit of the customers' accounts.
- b- Honouring cheques or orders drawn on bankers by the customers when presented for payment, and debiting their customers' accounts.
- c- Keeping running accounts for customers in which debits and credits were entered.

also

Black's Law Dictionary, 5th Edition, page 133, defines a "Banker" as, "In general sense, person that engages in business of banking. In narrower meaning, a private person.....; who is engaged in the business of banking without being incorporated.

Under some statutes, an individual banker, as distinguished from a "private banker", is a person who, having complied with the statutory requirements, has received authority from the state to engage in the business of banking, while a private banker is a person engaged in banking without having any special privileges or authority from the state. "

**2. BANKING IS POSSIBLY THE 2ND OLDEST PROFESSION IN THE WORLD
WAS THERE BANKING BEFORE THE FSA/FCA CAM INTO BEING? HAS BANKING BEEN AROUND SINCE THE BEGINNING OF TIME?**

BANKING IS LIKE GARDENING, TEACHING, COOKING, BREATHING, PAINTING, SINGING, PARENTING – NO ONE HAS TO GIVE YOU PERMISSION TO DO IT – EVERY MAN AND WOMAN HAS THE DUTY AND OBLIGATION TO ARRANGE HIS/HER FINANCIAL AFFAIRS TO BEST SUIT HIS/HER NEEDS. THE MOMENT THE CENTRAL PRIVATE BANK OF ENGLAND WAS ALLOWED TO ISSUE THE CURRENCY THEN EVERYONE WAS FORCED INTO A CUL DE SAC CALLED DEBT SLAVERY. IRREBUTABLE FACT INFLATION/INTEREST/TAXATION FOLLOW LIKE FIRST COUSINS AT A FUNERAL

**"Imaginary energy units are not a form of currency and they do not pay debts
WERE BANKS MEMBERS PAY ALL THEIR DEBTS WITH A VALID AND LAWFUL FINANCIAL INSTRUMENT FULLY RECOGNISED THE WORLD OVER DENOMINATED IN THE CURRENCY OF THE COUNTRY WHERE THAT DEBT WAS SUPPOSEDLY INCURRED**

ALL CORPORATIONS ARE ALLOWED AND EXPECTED AND OBLIGED TO ACCEPT PROMISSORY NOTES FOR SETTLEMENT AND PAYMENT – THIS IS A BASIC TENET OF THEIR MEMORANDA & ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION – THEY ARE STANDARD TERMS – WITHOUT THIS FUNCTIONALITY NO BUSINESS COULD OPERATE DUE TO THE SHORTAGE OF PHYSICAL CASH NOTES.

"WeRe Bank is "potentially a scam" to get membership money in return for fake cheques"

"POTENTIALLY A SCAM" CAN MEAN ALMOST ANYTHING CANNOT IT NOT?

AND WHO IS MAKING THIS ACCUSATION? NOT THE FCA – THEY MENTION NOTHING IN THIS MANNER. IT IS MOST INTERESTING THAT WHEN THE FCA MADE ITS STATEMENT LAST YEAR IT ONLY ISSUED A CONSUMER NOTICE – NOT EVEN A WARNING- if we are all the things which the "press" claims as opposed to what the Regulatory Authorities state, then why are we still operational now into our 4th year?

ON THE SUBJECT OF "MEMBERSHIP MONEY" THE CONTRIBUTION ASKED IT IS SO SMALL AN AMOUNT THAT IT IS NOT EVEN WORTH THE MENTION AS A VEILED ACCUSATION OF IMPROPRIETY – IN ADDITION IT IS A MEMBERSHIP FEE TO A POLITICAL ORGANISATION – ReMovement and NOT WeRe Bank

WeRe Bank IS A HUMANITARIAN RELIEF PROJECT

WE HAVE OUR INSTRUMENTS IN OVER 30 COUNTRIES WITH OVER 12,000 + REGISTRATIONS
WHEN WAS THE LAST REPORTED CASE THAT ANYONE WAS ACCUSED OF SCAMMING A PLANET?
WITH THE FEDERAL RESERVE, BOE, BANK FOR INTERNATIONAL SETTLEMENTS AND THE BANK OF
ENGLAND CASES EXCLUDED OF COURSE!

POINT 2

THE CHEQUES CANNOT BE FAKE AS IT IS ONLY WeRe BANK WHO CAN DECLARE THEM SO AND IT
WILL NEVER DO THAT – UNLESS THEY ARE COPIED OR DUPLICATED. IF THAT WAS THE CASE IT
WOULD ONLY SERVE BETTER THE STATEMENT THAT MANY WANT CHANGE.

IF ANY STATEMENT ABOUT FAKE/COUNTERFEIT OR FRAUD IS MADE THEN IS OBVIOUS TO ALL
THAT THE ONLY AGENCY OR ASSOCIATION WHICH CAN VERIFY THAT IS THE CREATING
INSTITUTION OR HUMAN BEING RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION OR
MANUFACTURE. LOOK (for example) AT ROLEX/CARTIER/BENNETON/RAY BAN/SONY/LOUIS
VUITTON – IF THERE IS AN ALLEGATION OF FAKERY THEN IT IS ONLY THE HOUSE OF THOSE
PRODUCTS “EXCLUSIVELY” WHICH CAN PRONOUNCE THEM FAKE OR NOT.

We’ll be talking about this particular case and the decision made by the
Ombudsmen which can be found here - [http://www.ombudsman-
decisions.org.uk/viewPDF.aspx?FileID=104875](http://www.ombudsman-
decisions.org.uk/viewPDF.aspx?FileID=104875)

THE OMBUDSMAN GETS IT “AS WRONG AS IT IS POSSIBLE FOR ONE MAN TO GET IT WRONG”
HE MAKES ERRORS OF FACT AS WELL AS LAW AND IS THE PARAGON OF INCOMPETENCE BY
DELIBERATELY CONFUSING THE PEOPLE WITH MORE “MONEY JARGON”.

NOT A MEMBER OF SWIFT? WHAT IS SWIFT?
WHAT IS LEGAL TENDER – PROMISSORY NOTES ISSUED FROM A BANK
BOE NOTES ARE PROMISSORY NOTES
THE SURETY ON THE NOTES IS WHOM?

We’ll also hear from the Financial Conduct Authority who say:

“WeRe Bank are not authorised and therefore are not a bank

WERE BANK OPERATES UNDER COMMON LAW AND ON LAND – DO HUMAN BEINGS HAVE THE
RIGHT TO CONDUCT FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS WITHOUT PERMISSION FROM THE “STATE”
WHICH IS NOTHING MORE THAN A FICTIONAL NON-FINDABLE PHENOMENON? Answer is obvious

WERE BANK OPERATES OUTSIDE THE REGULATORY FRAMEWORK AS IT IS
(a) NOT SELLING FINANCIAL PRODUCTS AND
(b) IS NOT LOOKING TO JOIN THE JUICED IN CLUB/CARTEL WHICH RELIES ON
GOVERNMENTAL/STATE SPONSORED BACK-HANDERS IN ORDER TO MAKE OBSCENE PROFIT OFF
THE PUBLIC VIA EXCESSIVE AND IMMORAL DEBT CREATION

THE FCA HAS NO AUTHORITY IN THESE MATTERS WITH WHICH WERE BANK AND ITS MEMBERS PARTICIPATE. IT IS A MERE LEGAL FICTION AND IS JUST A BOUGHT AND PAID FOR MOUTH PIECE OF THE BANKING CARTEL

IT IS A PRIVATE COMPANY

IT IS NOT IMPARTIAL

IT SERVES VESTED INTEREST

IT OVERSEES AN IMMENSITY OF WRONG DOING DIFFICULT TO COMPREHEND

IT IS A PORTAL FOR APACS –The Association of Payment Clearing Services (Now the UK Payments Admin) which includes BACS/CHAPS and THE CHEQUE AND CREDIT CLEARING COMPANY

THESE ARE ALL PRIVATE CORPORATIONS – TRYING TO TELL A HUMAN BEINGS WHAT TO DO

WeRe bank is a danger to vulnerable people by promising to pay debts it can't pay

ACCORDING TO THE BRITISH MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION OVER 4 MILLION HOMES HAVE BEEN REPOSSESED OVER THE PAST 20 YEARS IN THE UK – THE FIGURE IS PROBABLY A LOT HIGHER. THE FCA AND OMBUDSMAN HAVE AIDED NONE OF THEM AND THE PROBLEMS CONTINUE

BANK AFTER BANK HAS BEEN FINED FOR CRIMINAL CONDUCT BUT NO BANKERS IMPRISONED

WERE BANK HAS NOT BEEN FINED AND NEVER WILL BE!

BARCLAYS FINED

<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-34930492>

<http://www.itv.com/news/update/2015-05-20/barclays-fined-record-284-4-million/>

WELLS FARGO FINED

<http://uk.reuters.com/article/2015/01/22/us-usa-banks-fines-idUSKBN0KV2C020150122>

BANK OF IRELAND FINED

<http://www.standard.co.uk/news/fsa-slaps-fine-on-bank-of-ireland-6974645.html>

DEUTSCHE BANK FINED

<https://www.fca.org.uk/news/deutsche-bank-fined-by-fca-for-libor-and-euribor-failings>

HSBC FINED

<http://www.theguardian.com/business/2015/jun/04/hsbc-fined-278m-over-moneylaundering-claims>

NAT WEST FINED

<https://www.fca.org.uk/news/fca-fines-rbs-and-natwest-for-failures-in-mortgage-adviceprocess>

SANTANDER FINED

<https://www.fca.org.uk/news/santander-uk-investment-fine>

RBS FINED

<http://news.sky.com/story/1487632/banks-fined-nearly-4bn-over-exchange-abuses>

NOTHERN ROCK FINED

<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-20679511>

GOLDMAN SACHS FINED

<http://www.housingwire.com/articles/35489-goldman-sachs-fined-50-million-for-federalreserve-leak>

JP MORGAN FINED

<http://www.theguardian.com/business/2015/jan/14/jp-morgan-chase-fines-legal-fees>

BANK OF AMERICA FINED

<http://www.theguardian.com/business/2014/aug/21/bank-of-america-record-settlementmortgages>

WeRe Bank..... NOT FINED!

FOR ""VULNERABLE PEOPLE"" READ PEOPLE WHO ARE AT THEIR WITS END AND ARE ABOUT TO LOSE ALL THEY HAVE. WHO HAS CREATED THIS VULNERABILITY? WERE BANK OR THE FINANCIAL SYSTEM OVERSEEN BY THE FCA/FSA/PRU/LSE/BBA?

THIS IS A DIRECT RESULT OF THE INHERENT FLAWS IN THE BANKING AND FINANCIAL SYSTEM AND THE OVER-RIDING DESIRE FOR ONE THING AND ONE THING ONLY – PROFIT AT ANY COST! ONCE THE WERE BANK CHEQUE HAS BEEN DRAWN UP BY THE DRAWER THE ISSUE IS CLOSED. THE LIABILITY HAS EITHER BEEN PAID OFF OR IT HAS NOT. IF IT HAS NOT THEN EVERY SINGLE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENT UPON WHICH THE ENTIRE COMMERCIAL BANKING AND FINANCIAL SERVICESYSTEM IS PREDICATED IS NULL & VOID WHO WANTS TO GO THERE?

The Touchstone question is: "Is the promissory note that the member signs valid, yes or no? ReMember the PN is the creation of the member-it is his/her promissory note and NOT WeRe Bank's, something which the shells and trolls choose to singularly ignore. They know that they cannot go there – ask why and they drift into a maniacal diatribe about "personal wrong doing" by Peter of England.

Customers are unlikely to be able to use the Re cheques and instead may receive additional charges from creditors as a result of late payments

ALL THESE PEOPLE ARE ALREADY IN SERIOUS TROUBLE BEFORE THEY ENGAGE WITH THE SOLUTION OF LAST RESORT – AND UNLIKELY DOES NOT MEAN IMPOSSIBLE.

We have numerous examples of DEBT (so called) being cleared with WeRe Bank Article 3 UCC instruments

The people are offered a choice and a way to change the system – any one opposing this is a firm friend of the NOW, The banking cartels, big government and NO friend of the people. They should be treated accordingly.

And we'll also be discussing a court case in Canada brought against Servus Credit Ltd by a WeRe bank user, Mr Parlee. The conclusions were:

MR PARLEE WAS IN THE FINAL STAGES OF HIS FIGHT WHEN HE CONTACTED WERE BANK – MUCH HAD ALREADY BEEN DONE IN ERROR BY MR PARLEE AS HE HAD HAD A HOTCH-POTCH OF LEGAL ADVICE AND THE FACT HE HAD USED A WERE BANK “NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT” WAS THE REASON HE WAS RIDICULED AS MUCH AS HE WAS AS THERE IS A VERY FIERCE ASSAULT UPON ANY CHALLENGE TO THE STATUS QUO IN CANADA – THE FACTS OF THE CASE HAVE BEEN EXTENSIVELY REBUTTED BY MYSELF IN THE DOCUMENT ATTACHED TO YOU NOW AND JUDGE SCHLOSSER IS GUILTY OF MANY CRIMES WHICH DO NOT STOP AT STUPIDITY AND BEING UNFIT TO HOLD PUBLIC OFFICE

A WRIT OF QUO WARRANTO WAS ASKED FOR TO UNSEAT HIM

HE IS PART OF A MASONIC OVERSIGHT MECHANISM IN THE CANADIAN COURTS – NOTHING NEW THERE FOR SURE.

-WeRe bank is a fraud and the cheques are as worthless as magic beans

Herr Schlosser FAILED AT EVERY STAGE TO STATE HOW IT COULD BE A FRAUD. HE USED BANAL BAR ROOM RHETORIC.

HE ADDRESSES THE ISSUE OF THE UNIT CALLED Re AND CONFUSES IT PURPOSEFULLY/IGNORANTLY WITH THE CANADIAN DOLLAR, IN WHICH THE CHEQUE WAS PRESENTED.

HE FAILS TO EXPLAIN THE CONUNDRUM/ THE CONTRADICTION OF HOW THE PROMISSORY NOTE, AS A LAWFUL AND ENFORCEABLE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENT IS COMPLETELY VALID AND SHOULD BE AVOIDED LEST IT GET MR PARLEE IN DEEPER DEBT – YET AT THE SAME TIME STATES THAT THE INSTRUMENT WITH WHICH MR PARLEE ATTEMPTS TO PAY SERVUS CREDIT UNION –THE CHEQUE - IN EFFECT THAT WHICH SUBTENDS THE PROMISSORY NOTE – IS TOTALLY INVALID AND WORTHLESS!!

THIS IS ALICE IN WORDERLAND II

HE FAILED ALSO TO ADDRESS THE MECHANICS OF BANKING AND THE NATURE OF THE PROMISE HE ATTEMPTS TO INTRODUCE FOR THE FIRST TIME IN THE HISTORY OF COURT ROOM HISTORICS THE NATURE OF A TWIN TRACK OF PROMISES/ DEGREES OF PROMISE GIVING IN EFFECT!

PROMISES TO WERE BANK ARE INVALID BUT TO ANYONE ELSE ARE VALID!

HE ALSO USED “SELECTIVE CONCLUSIONING” TO DERIDE THE PURPOSE AND ETHICS OF WERE BANK

EVERY ESTABLISHMENT WHICH HAS USED WORDS LIKE FAKE OR SCAM ABOUT WERE BANK WITHIN THE LAST 12 MONTHS INCLUDING THE FCA IN JERSEY HAS RETRACTED THESE CLAIMS FROM THEIR WEBSITES WHEN CHALLENGED – This should now be so for the troll sites too.

-Servus' refusal to accept a particular form of payment is legal

THERE EXISTS A VERY GREAT DIFFERENCE BETWEEN LEGAL AND LAWFUL

THE LAWFUL THING TO DO WAS NOT TO ALLOW MR PARLEES HOME TO BE TAKEN FROM HIM UNTIL A JURY TRIAL, CONCLUDED IN A COURT OF EQUITY IN THE CHANCERY DIVISION OF THE HIGH

COURT DECIDED IT SHOULD BE TAKEN – THAT WAS THE TRAVESTY AS THERE WAS A TRUST IN EXISTENCE PROVEN BY THE FACT THAT TWO PEOPLE WERE CLAIMING THE SAME PROPERTY. TWO TITLES WERE ON ISSUE – THE LEGAL AND THE EQUITABLE. THE ISSUES OF LEGAL TITLE AND MR PARLEES EQUITABLE TITLE WERE NEVER TOUCHED UPON. A GROSS MISCARRIAGE OF JUSTICE – FREEMAN OR NOT

-The cheques were rejected by Servus because Servus insisted on payment by certified cheque or bank draft and would not discuss Mr Parlee's 'freeman theories of money and banking'

THIS IS EXACTLY WHAT MR PARLEE DID PAY WITH A FULLY CERTIFIED INSTRUMENT – See UCC Article 3

We air between 12:15 and 13:00 on Thursday, would you be interested in recording an interview with us on the afternoon of the 27th? If not can you please provide us a statement addressing the complaints by noon on the 27th.

Kind regards,

Lilly

Peter of England



WeRe Bank
UNIVERSAL ENERGY TRANSFER

